

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**  
**FEB 08 2008**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE.

February 8, 2008

In re Application of

Group art unit 2834

Sten R. Gerfast  
Serial No. 10/733,944  
Filed 12/12/03

Examiner Tran N. Nguyen

For GENERATOR WITH OUTPUT OPTIONS AND LOW LOSS WINDINGS.

Commissioner of Patents  
P.O. BOX 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

**STATUS INQUIRY.**

Inasmuch as in the above entitled application:

- Clams 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18 were allowed on 5-29 2005  
(Copy enclosed)

this is an inquiry as to when an Office action is forthcoming.

Respectfully submitted

*Sten Gerfast FEB 8 2008*  
Sten Gerfast

*THREE*

~~Two~~ pages FAXED to 571 273 8300 on February 8 2008

FEB 08 2008

AK

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,944	GERFAST, STEN R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tran N. Nguyen	2834	

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —  
 Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

MAILED 5-20-2005

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) 9-18 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 19-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.                                    |

JUNE 25 2007

Supervisor Darren Schuberg Art unit 2834

Re. Application number 10/ 733944  
GENERATOR WITH OUTPUT OPTIONS

Filed December 12 2003

Dear Mr. Schuberg: The applicant has numerous times tried to contact the Examiner for clarification:

- \* Phone calls to Mr. Nguyen on 6/23/06 and two weeks later, left message ; (no call back)
- Phone calls to Lisa Wright on 6/23/06, and later, left message ; (no call back)
- Written requests with my Fax, phone or E-mail address; no callback.
- My last reply was mailed and faxed on 6 23 2006.
- The reply has been in the possession of PTO since June 2006.
- The Examiner **has not replied in any manner since;**  
until I received a communication letter almost a year later (mailed 6/12/2007)
- It does not seem fair to ask for an additional \$ 625 for extension of time  
when the delay is at PTO.
- I would courteously request that the "extension of time" fee be waived.

Respectfully submitted

Sten Gerfast

Sten Gerfast JUNE 25 2007  
PHONE & FAX 651-454-1923  
EMAIL: GERFAST@JUNO.CO.Y